Vouching the Digital Literacy in Instruction Viz-A-Viz Performance: Contextualized Enhancement Activities

Nikko B. Suico[a*], Alden Q. Gabuya,Jr.[b], Charina G. Bardoquillo[c],
Zarmie Lis R. Briones[c], Angen May F. Charcos[c], Ma. Carla Y. Abaquita[d],
Rowena P. Abaquita[d], Arliezl D. Mancio[d]

[a] *Biasong National High School, Biasong, Balamban, Cebu, Philippines*
[b] *College of Engineering, Cebu Technological University- Tuburan Campus, Barangay VIII, Tuburan, Cebu, Philipines*
[c] *College of Education, Cebu Technological University- Tuburan Campus, Barangay VIII, Tuburan, Cebu, Philippines*
[6,7,8] *Graduate School, Cebu Technological University- Tuburan Campus, Barangay VIII, Tuburan, Cebu, Philippines*

Abstract— **The study determined the degree of digital literacy and the various ICT skills of Senior High School Students of Biasong National High School, Balamban, Cebu for the academic year 2021-2022. Significantly, it aimed to identify the students' levels of digital access as to motivational access, material access, skills access, and usage access. The data was processed with the respondents' profile to create contextualized enhancement activities that used the available materials and digital devices. Simple percentage, weighted mean, Pearson product-moment coefficient of correlation r, simple ranking, independent samples t-test, and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used with the descriptive-correlation methodology. Findings indicated that there is virtually little correlation between respondents' levels of digital access and their age, sex, and academic standing. Moreover, skills access and use access have low positive correlations with motivation access and overall digital access (r=0.398, p>0.01) and with each other (r=0.398, p>0.01). This implies that even though there is a slightly higher value of correlation when compared with the other inter-correlation of factors, the same shows low correlation. However, there is a weakly positive correlation between Usage and Overall Digital Access Levels (r=0.516, p>0.01) as well as between Skills and Overall Digital Accesses (r=0.643, p>0.01). The Moderate association shows that even though the frequency of using digital devices and ICT skills are both important for developing digital literacy, the respondents don't have less motivation or much using digital devices.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Delivering quality 21st-century basic education anchors on developing a specific set of skills, especially in ICT, to achieve economic relevance [1], [2], [3], to contribute to social change [4]; as cited in [5], to create world-class educational institutions and globally-competitive workforce, and to nurture the 21st-century skills [6] on the broader perspective. Several researchers also acknowledge its benefits toyoung learners, such as improvement of their academic performance [1],[7],[8], narrowing the range of digital gap among the youth [9], [10], upskilling to be globally competitive [3], development of critical thinking [11], self-identity, social, and cultural awareness [12], [5]. With these

lists of promising benefits of utilizing ICT resources in this knowledge-based global economy [1], there is enough reason to focalize digital literacy as a core medium to address the achievement gap of the students of Biasong National High School.

The Philippines notched all below average in Mathematics, Science, and Reading compared to the participating member countries of the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) [13]. That implied the face of the quality of basic education in the country. Furthermore, that concern was acknowledged by the

Department of Education (DepEd), and the agency vouched for improving the quality of basic education in the country through "Sulong Edukalidad" [14],[15]. That assessment accorded with the Performance Indicators of Biasong National High School for School Year 2020-2021, particularly with the reported achievement rate of 17.33% (Biasong National High School, 2021). The 57.67%-gap from the passing rate of 75.00% called for a radical improvement in delivering good quality basic education to its approximately 300 students for the current and subsequent school years. Those two assessments, among the few qualitative and quantitative observations, prompted the conception of this study.

Acquiring a piece of concrete information about the digital literacy of the GAS students of Biasong National High School is a feasible contributing factor to structure enhancement programs, that conform to the standards of the Department of Education in improving the quality of basic education in the Philippines. With that, the study hopes that the Senior High School students of Biasong National High School will commence with more enhanced capabilities to meet the desired standards in the expanding global knowledge economy [9].

This study anchors to the following digital literacy, supplemented by Digital Access Theory.

Digital Literacy

There is barely a centralized definition of digital literacy. This prompted Law, Woo, de la Torre, and Wong in2018 to propose to the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) the definition of digital literacy. According to them, digital literacy is "the ability to access, manage, understand, integrate, communicate, evaluate and create information safely and appropriately through digital technologies for employment, decent jobs, and entrepreneurship. It includes competencies that are variously referred to as computer literacy, ICT literacy, information literacy, and media literacy." Digital literacy also consists of five (5) varieties of literacies such as (1) photo-visual literacy, (2) reproduction literacy, (3) branching literacy, (4) information literacy, and (5) socio-emotional literacy [16]. Photo-visual literacy is interpreting information with digital visual objects. Reproduction literacy is using digital technology to synthesize outputs from pre-existing items. Branching literacy is navigating through the complex nature of digital space. Information technology is discovering, rediscovering, analyzing, and evaluating information on the internet. Socio-emotional literacy is determining the purpose of participating in a digital platform, and displaying behavior in the context of socialization with other people on the internet. [17] has also claiimed that digital literacy embraces technical, cognitive, and socio-emotional perspectives of online and offline learning with digital technologies.

The technical dimension is about acquiring necessary technical skills to navigate through the different hardware and software in a piece of digital technological equipment. The cognitive dimension pertains to utilizing the thinking skills applied to search, evaluate, and select information, digital tools, and technologies while considering related ethical, moral, and legal standards. The social-emotional dimension involves the operation of digital tools responsibly in connecting with people with utmost consideration of intellectual, moral, and cultural references [8].

Digital Divide

Theory of the Digital Divide by [18] revealed that this theory addresses the growing divide by emphasizing that the problem of digital inequality does not end once physical access is obtained, but rather begins when digital media is integrated into daily life. This theory was created to account for the digital divide which refers to the difference between people who have and do not have access to information and communication technology. [19] said that the ability to critically evaluate information, the accuracy of the application used, and a thorough understanding of the information content contained in digital content. [20] stated that connecting learning approaches with information technology and digital literacy is required for developing values and integrating prior knowledge.

In the first instance, this study examines digital access students at four levels [18] their motivations to adopt information and communication technology, their material access to digital tools, their abilities to use these digital tools, and their actual usage of such tools and services. The four levels are central to [18] theory of the digital divide, which presented a model of successive types of access to digital tools, implying that there are four successive types of access to digital tools, namely motivational, material, skills, and usage access.

[21] noted that the original digital divide of physical internet access has given way to a divide in internet skills. [22] suggested that before skills and usage access become operational, the students' access and self-report regarding their use of technology, motivational and material access must be resolved. Material access must be prioritized first because other types of access cannot be initiated without it. She explained that students had a high interest in technology, but due to limited material access, their skills and usage decreased, resulting in only moderate access. [23] also commented that most students are unaware of the use of ICT infrastructure, resulting in a low level of learning. [24] informed that the fundamental elements of technical support, specifically whether students have the necessary technical equipment, an adequate Internet connection, and the availability of software required for educational purposes.

[25] has defined *digital divide* as a "social gap between those who have access to and use computers and the internet." It exists when there is an uneven spread of digital technology use when compared from one entity to another. This would become a serious concern to consider because it simply exists. It can affect the implementation of the standards of the K to 12 Basic Education Curriculum due to a deficiency of skills, materials, and support system. A digital divide exists when a person performs below the average level in digital literacy skills such as basic computer usage, file management, system maintenance, word processing, basic internet, internet searching, spreadsheet, PowerPoint, etc. It also manifests in accessing to the technologies with its level of efficiency and effectivity upon the person [10].

The digital divide is essential to education. The Philippine Institute for Development Studies in 2015 showed that "education correlates with living standards: practically

nineteen out of twenty poor persons in 2009 belong to households where the heads have little or no schooling. Lack of education of the household head limits earning potentials of the household."[26], [27]. These poor students enroll in public schools are experiencing a digital divide since there is an "inequality in access to digital media, hence, aggravates the existing digital divide in educational opportunities in contemporary society" [27]. To address the concern of the digital divide, there are four levels in measuring digital access: (1) motivational access, (2) material access, (3) skills access, and (4) usage access, respectively. Motivational access can be a subjective matter since it deals with the individuality of a user. Perceptions of usefulness and ergonomics, and other subjective norms become determining factors to the level of motivation a person to commit to accepting digitalization. Material access anchors to the following demographic categories: income, education, age, gender, and ethnicity. Skills access refers to the relative skills required to have a command and use digital media. Medium-related skills (digital command media) would predominate over content-related skills such as finding information, communicating, acting, and creating. Usage access is the primary goal which is measured with usage time and frequency, number and diversity of usage applications, broadband or narrowband use, and more or less active or creative use [18].

Enhancement Program roadmap
By acknowledging the importance of digital literacy in 21st-century basic education, it is imperative to integrate ICT skills into the enhancement programs that an academic institution utilizes. Effective use of ICT integration needs a system roadmap which is a multi-staged, multi-user approach to guide educational institutions acquire and to develop the appropriate info-structure (information structure) and competencies (knowledge and skills) for an optimal, efficient, and effective use of technology by school administrators and staff, teachers, and students [28]. [28] proposed a roadmap for Basic Education (BED) institutions wherein the technology integration roadmap comprises three different stages and three distinct user groups. The three stages include (1) acquisition stage – technology and competence build-up, (2) utilization stage – technology for productivity, and (3) full integration stage – technology in the right places. The three user groups include (1) administrators, (2) teachers, and (3) students.


Fig 1. A roadmap for BED Institutions by Espiritu

Legal Bases
The Republic of the Philippines believes in the utilization of ICT in its services because it wants to "promote digital literacy, ICT expertise, and knowledge-building among citizens to enable them to participate and compete in an evolving ICT age" [29] as cited from the RA 10844, among other purposes. With that, the Department of Education also expressed its shared goals of the country through adopting ICT in its curriculums, especially the most recent K to 12 Basic Education Curriculum as demarcated in section 18 of the Department of Education Order No. 021, series of 2019, otherwise known as the "Policy Guidelines on the K to 12 Basic Education Program". The section 18 paragraph *a* stated that:

"18. The K to 12 graduate is a holistically developed Filipino who has built foundations for learning throughout life. They are individuals equipped with information, media and technology skills, learning and innovation skills, life and career skills, and communication skills necessary to tackle the challenges and take advantage of the opportunities of the 21st century. These skills are defined and described as follows."
Information, media, and technology skills deal with various sub-skills such as visual and information literacies, media literacy, essential, scientific, economic, and technological literacies, multicultural literacy, and global awareness. These skills allow learners to navigate the fluid and dynamic environment of knowledge creation and acquisition." (Department of Education, 2019).

The Present Study
To prosper in a knowledge-based economy in the twenty-first century, a workforce with the necessary skills and digital literacy is required. By improving academic performance, encouraging critical thinking, and reducing the digital divide among students, information and communication technologies (ICT) have many positive effects on education [1,11, 37] However, in spite of its acknowledged benefits, the Philippines routinely receives below-average scores in international evaluations, underscoring the necessity of better educational standards, especially in the area of digital literacy [15, 23]
This research addresses this gap by investigating the digital literacy skills of Senior High School students at Biasong National High School (BNHS). This study is framed by the Digital Divide Theory [18], which identifies four important access points: usage, material, motivational, and skills:

1. Determine the precise digital literacy gaps that BNHS students are experiencing at each access point.
2. Examine the variables influencing these disparities, considering student attitudes toward ICT, school resources, and socioeconomic backgrounds.
3. Provide suggestions for focused improvement initiatives to close the BNHS's identified gaps in digital literacy.

Although the digital divide and its effects on educational attainment have been studied in the past [22, 32], many of these studies have concentrated on local or national trends. This study goes farther, looking at the state of digital literacy in a particular educational setting. Furthermore, current research frequently gives access to technology a higher priority than usage and skill development [25, 27]. Through

an evaluation of each of the four access points listed in the Digital Divide Theory, we hope to offer a more comprehensive understanding.

This study could make a major contribution to improving knowledge and digital literacy practices in educational institutions. We can create focused interventions that cater to the individual needs of BNHS students by identifying the precise digital literacy gaps they encounter. The results can also be used as a model for other schools dealing with comparable issues and to help Biasong National High School create successful digital literacy programs. The ultimate goal of this research is to help close the digital divide and provide students with the fundamental knowledge and abilities they need to thrive in the twenty-first century.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

A. *Design*

This study employed a descriptive-correlational research design to thoroughly examine the subject matter. Following established methodology, the researcher integrated the Learning Analytics Cycle, a renowned framework introduced by [30], which encompasses four key components: Learners, Data, Metrics, and Interventions. The research journey commenced by targeting Senior High School students who were enrolled in the General Academic Strand - Academic Track, with a specific focus on Biasong National High School, as outlined in the Study Flow.

To accomplish its objectives, this study used a descriptive-correlational design. We are able to determine the level of digital literacy at Biasong National High School (BNHS) by means of surveys that evaluate students' skills and access. It would not prove cause-and-effect relationships, unlike experimental designs, but it can show possible relationships between things like material access, skills access, motivational access, and material access. This data is essential to answering our research questions, which seek to pinpoint specific deficiencies in digital literacy and investigate factors that may be contributing within the educational setting.

B. *Flow of the Study*

The study adhered to the input, process, and output framework to guide its progression. During the input phase, the study identified and addressed the research problems at hand. The process phase involved formulating a comprehensive questionnaire, collecting relevant data, organizing the acquired information, and conducting a meticulous analysis. Ultimately, the study will yield an output in the form of a tailored enhancement program, contextualized to address the identified issues.

C. *Environment*

The study was conducted at Biasong National High School, Biasong, Balamban, Cebu (10.53129, 123.75781) with School ID number 305725. The school officially operate as Biasong National High School after its operation was approved by the DepEd Regional Office for Region VII in August 2019. Although established in 2008, the school went through a series of affiliations with other schools. It went through from being Biasong Integrated School to Buanoy National High School – Biasong Extension to Milan-Cantuod

National High School – Biasong Extension to its legitimate name duly accredited by the DepEd. Due to its meta-morphological developments, the school faces a number of challenges. Due to technical, financial, and legal constraints that the school went through, the school has neither support facilities such as the Computer Laboratory nor provision of computers and other gadgets from the national government. Hopefully, the school will receive immediate support for this. The school is at Biasong, Balamban, Cebu, a rural barangay. Its neighboring barangays are Cantuod, Nangka, Singsing, Vito, Hingatmonan, and Liki of the Municipality of Balamban. It also has neighboring barangays from the Municipality of Asturias, Ubugon, and San Isidro. However, most of the students at the school are from Biasong, with a few outliers from San Isidro, Ubugon, Liki, and Vito since there are nearby high schools established, such as Nangka National High School in Brgy. Nangka, Milan-Cantuod National High School in Brgy. Cantuod, and LikiIntegrated School in Brgy. Liki. Some also studied at Jose Chona Jo Memorial National High School in Brgy. Cambuhawe, which has a 20-miradius (approximately 8.3 km) from Biasong National High School. In the current school year, the institution is classified as a medium-sized school, accommodating a total of 298 students. Among them, 107 students are enrolled in the Senior High School program, while the remaining students are in the Junior High School. The teaching staff consists of 11 teachers, with 10 assigned as Teacher I for secondary subjects and 1 designated as Teacher II for the Senior High School level. Notably, the School Caretaker position is currently held by the lone Teacher II – SHS. The school's infrastructure has been generously donated by KALAHI-CIDSS, the Provincial Government, and the US Navy, including an abandoned Gabaldon Building, which holds cultural heritage value. The Senior High School offers the General Academic Strand within the Academic Track, comprising one section for Grade 11 and another section for Grade 12.

D. *Respondents*

The respondents of this study were the 109 enrolled GAS Senior High School Students of Biasong National High School for the School Year 2021-2022. The distribution of the respondents of this study is shown in Table 1.

TABLE I
DISTRIBUTION OF THE RESPONDENTS

Respondents	f	%
Grade 11	68	64
Grade 12	39	36
Total	107	100

1. Instrument

To assess the levels of digital access and academic performance among the students, the study utilized survey questionnaires developed by [27],[31],[32]. These questionnaires were chosen for their relevance and reliability in capturing the necessary information. Careful consideration was given to aligning the survey questionnaire with the specific variables of interest, ensuring a comprehensive and accurate evaluation of the students' digital access and academic performance.

2. Data Gathering Procedure

The study was initiated by the researcher who began by sending a formal letter of permission to the School Head of Biasong National High School, seeking authorization to conduct the study. Once the researcher received permission, the survey was conducted among the identified Senior High School students who participated as respondents. Prior to the survey, the researcher took the necessary steps to obtain informed consent and approval from the respondents. The researcher engaged in open discussions with the participants, providing detailed information about the survey's purpose, procedures, expected outcomes, and its potential impact on them and others involved.

To ensure the survey's relevance and consistency in data collection, the researcher carefully selected survey sheets from existing studies and further enhanced them to align with the students' perspectives. Additionally, the researcher obtained permission from the School Head to conduct the survey and organized a brief orientation session for the respondents. During this orientation, the researcher provided a clear rationale for the study, explained its objectives, and discussed the implications of the research. Subsequently, the survey questionnaires were distributed among the participants.

After the data collection phase, the researcher meticulously gathered and tabulated the obtained data. The tabulated results underwent rigorous statistical analysis to ensure accuracy and reliability. Stringent measures were taken to maintain confidentiality and safeguard the participants' information throughout the process. The inclusion of a diverse range of questions in the survey reflected the researcher's attentiveness to the respondents' consciousness, as their level of awareness and understanding could influence the accuracy and dependability of the collected data.

3. Statistical Treatment

The study employed a range of statistical tools to analyze the gathered data effectively. These tools include:

Simple Percentage: This statistical method was used to determine the distribution of respondents across different categories, providing insights into the overall representation of the sample.

Weighted Mean: The weighted mean was utilized to assess the perception of digital literacy among the respondents. By assigning appropriate weights to different factors or variables, a comprehensive understanding of their perception was obtained.

Pearson Product-Moment Coefficient of Correlation (r): This statistical measure was employed to determine if there were any statistically significant differences between the respondents' digital access levels and two factors: age and grades. The correlation coefficient helped identify potential relationships or associations between these variables.

Simple Ranking: The simple ranking technique was employed to determine the relative importance or priority of various issues and concerns. This method allowed for a systematic evaluation and identification of key areas of focus.

T-Test: The T-test was utilized to assess whether there was a significant difference between the respondents' digital access levels and their gender. This test provided statistical evidence to determine if any disparities existed based on gender.

One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA): This statistical tool was used to explore the intercorrelation among different levels of digital access. By comparing the means of multiple groups, the ANOVA analysis helped identify significant variations and patterns among these levels.

By utilizing these diverse statistical tools, the study aimed to provide a comprehensive analysis of the data and draw meaningful conclusions regarding digital literacy, perception, access levels, and their associations with various factors such as age, grades, gender, and concerns.

4. Definition of Terms

The following terms are defined operationally and are provided to provide supplemental information to maintain the essence of the study without further deconstructions. These are terminologies will be used in the study, especially in the expository presentation of information in this study.

Academic Performance. The performance of a student enrolled in the K to 12 Curriculum of the Philippines. It is a numerical value interpreted to assess the quality of learning a student while in formal basic education.

Achievement Rate. It is one of the many school performance indicators interpreted as how much the students collectively perform in their summative tests. It can be computed by the following formula:

$$= \frac{MPS Present SY - MPS Previous SY}{Performance Target} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

The difference between the Mean Percentage Scores (MPS) of the current school year and the previous school year and be divided by the performance target of 75% and multiplied by 100. MPS indicates the ratio between the number of correctly answered items in a test and the total number of items.

Basic Education. It is the formal education regulated by the Department of Education. It consists of primary and secondary education as stated in the laws and orders of the Philippines.

Contextualized Enhancement Activities. These are remedial activities provided to learners identified with below average performance in the assessment of a competency in order to control these students "at risk of dropping out" from the current grade they enrolled in the school. These learners undergo special class to cope with the learning competency they are at risk of failing or acquiring a grade of below 75 (Did Not Meet Expectation) in a particular subject.

Digital access. Based on [10], digital access is the continuing process of getting access to hardware and software, its updates, peripheral equipment, and subscription. This consist of four levels: motivational access, material access (physical access), skills access, and usage access.

Digital divide. It is the social gap between persons with access to digital technology versus those who do not.

Digital literacy. "The ability to access, manage, understand, integrate, communicate, evaluate and create information safely and appropriately through digital technologies for employment, decent jobs, and entrepreneurship. It includes competencies that are variously referred to as computer literacy, ICT literacy, information literacy, and media literacy." [33].

ICT Integration. It is utilizing Information and Communication Technology in the teaching-learning process. **ICT Skills**. These are Information and Communication Technology skills used to accomplish a specific task with the use of digital technology such as computers.

Material access. It is also called *Physical Access*. [18] regarded it as one of the four levels of digital access. It refers to the capability of a person to own or immediately use a digital equipment.

Motivational access. It is also referred to as *motivation, attitude, intention, and social support*. It is one of the four levels of digital access of [18]. It refers to the socio-emotional aspect of an individual and their perception of digital technology.

Skills access. It is one of the four levels of digital access of [18]. It refers to the skills acquired, applied, and mastered to navigate and utilize effectively and efficiently a digital resource.

Usage access. It is one of the four levels of digital access of [18]. It refers to the reasons and the frequency of manipulating a digital resource.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

According to the findings presented in Table 2, it can be observed that the age distribution of the respondents is as follows:

- The majority, comprising ninety-two (92) respondents, or 86 %, fall within the age range of 16-17.
- There are ten (10) respondents, accounting for 9 %, who belong to the age range of 20-23.
- Two (2) respondents, representing 2 %, are within the age range of 24-26.
- Three (3) respondents, comprising 3 %, are 27 years old or older.

Among the total of 107 respondents, the study consisted of fifty-seven (57) participants, accounting for approximately 53 %, who identify as males. On the other hand, fifty (50) respondents, or 47 %, identify as females.

These proportions indicate a relatively balanced gender distribution within the sample. It is worth noting that the majority of the respondents, comprising a significant proportion of the sample, fall within the age range of 16 to 19 years old.

TABLE II
AGE AND SEX OF THE RESPONDENTS

Age and Sex	Male		Female		Total	
	f	%	f	%	f	%
27 and above	0	0	3	3	3	3
24-26	0	0	2	2	2	2
20-23	6	6	4	4	10	9
16-19	51	48	41	38	92	86
TOTAL	57	53	50	47	107	100

Gadgets at Home

Table 3 provides a comprehensive ranking of the most commonly available gadgets among the respondents, listed in descending order: smart phones, radio, Cable TV, basic mobile phones, non-cable TV, laptops, tablets, and desktop computers.

It is noteworthy to highlight the significant difference of 47 between the frequencies of smart phones and radio. This gap of 47 demonstrates the widest margin among the gadgets when considering the difference in frequencies between consecutive ranks. This substantial difference emphasizes the prevalence and popularity of smart phones among the respondents compared to radio.

Additionally, examining the subsequent gaps between frequencies yields further insights into the distribution of gadgets. The disparity between radio and Cable TV amounts to 11, indicating a relatively smaller margin compared to the gap observed between smart phones and radio.

Furthermore, the difference between Cable TV and basic mobile phones is 10, suggesting a moderate difference in availability between these two gadgets. Similarly, the variance from basic mobile phones to non-cable TV is 11, indicating a comparable margin.

Analyzing the subsequent gaps, the difference between non-cable TV and laptops is 9, reflecting a relatively smaller variance in availability. The gap from laptops to tablets narrows down to 1, indicating a marginal difference.

Lastly, the difference between tablets and desktop computers amounts to 2, suggesting a moderate variance between these two gadgets.

By presenting the ranking and highlighting the differences between the frequencies, Table 3 offers a comprehensive overview of the availability and popularity of various gadgets among the respondents. This information allows for a better understanding of the distribution and usage patterns of these devices within the study population.

	TABLE III	
	GADGETS AT HOME	
Gadget	**f**	**Rank**
Smart phone	97	1
Radio	50	2
Cable TV	39	3
Basic Mobile phone	29	4
Non-Cable TV	18	5
Laptop	9	6
Tablet	8	7
Desktop computer	6	8

The data presented in Table 3 highlights that smart phones are the most accessible gadget among the respondents, with 91% of them indicating ownership. On the other hand, the least accessible gadget is the desktop computer, which is only owned by 7% of the respondents. This significant difference implies that smart phones are widely considered one of the most convenient digital tools for the younger generation [29].

Moreover, smart phones play a crucial role in the daily lives of young people. They serve as essential tools for various purposes. In fact, considering the respondents' average economic situation, smart phones can effectively replace most of the other listed devices. They can function as radios, televisions, laptops, tablets, and even desktop computers, especially when connected to a stable internet connection. Therefore, the inclusion of smart phones as the most accessible gadget suggests that the academic processes and educational experiences of the respondents are likely to revolve around the use of such devices.

By acknowledging the prevalence and convenience of smart phones, the study recognizes the transformative potential of these devices in facilitating learning and academic activities. With this understanding, the forthcoming presentation of the study's results can shed further light on the impact of smart phones on the academic processes and provide insights into the ways in which these devices shape and influence education within the context of the research.

Final Grades

The final grades of the respondents have been categorized according to the guidelines outlined in the Department of Education Order No. 8, s. 2015, commonly known as the "Policy Guidelines on Classroom Assessment for the K to 12 Basic Education Program." Analyzing the results reveals the following distribution:

Among the respondents, 13 individuals have achieved Outstanding grades, demonstrating exceptional academic performance.

A total of 25 respondents have attained Very Satisfactory grades, indicating a high level of competence and proficiency.

Satisfactory grades have been obtained by 52 respondents, suggesting a solid level of achievement and meeting the expected standards.

Additionally, 17 respondents have achieved Fairly Satisfactory grades, indicating a reasonable level of accomplishment.

Notably, it is worth mentioning that no respondents received Did Not Meet Expectation grades. This implies that all participants in the study have met or exceeded the established academic expectations, which is a positive outcome.

By aligning the final grades of the respondents with the specified policy guidelines, the study provides valuable insights into the academic performance and achievements of the participants. The distribution of grades across different categories reflects the diversity of the respondents' academic abilities and highlights the successful attainment of educational standards.

These findings contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the academic landscape and provide a foundation for further analysis and interpretation of the research results.

	TABLE IV	
	FINAL GRADES OF THE RESPONDENTS, SY 2021-2022	
Grades	**f**	**Verbal Description**
90-100	13	Outstanding
85-89	25	Very Satisfactory
80-84	52	Satisfactory
75-79	17	Fairly Satisfactory
0-74	0	Did Not Meet Expectation

The analysis of the respondents' final grades reveals interesting patterns. Specifically, 49 % of the respondents received grades within the range of 80 to 84, representing a significant portion of the sample. A notable observation is the substantial gap between Satisfactory grades and Very Satisfactory grades, with the latter comprising 25.23 % or 27 respondents. Moreover, a slightly larger gap of 32.71 % or 35 respondents exists between Satisfactory grades and Fairly Satisfactory grades. In comparison, the group of 13 respondents who achieved Outstanding grades, accounting for 12.14 % of the total, falls just below the number of respondents in the Fairly Satisfactory grades category.

The concentration of grades around the Satisfactory level, with limited representation in the Fairly Satisfactory, Very Satisfactory, and Outstanding grades, and the absence of Did Not Meet Expectation grades, suggests that the students are performing at an average academic level. This finding aligns with the notion that Filipino students face challenges in their academic progress, as reported by [35]. To signify significant progress in the students' education, there is a need to consolidate their grades toward the thresholds between Outstanding grades and Above Satisfactory grades, while minimizing the number of lower-tier grades such as Fairly Satisfactory and Did Not Meet Expectation.

It is important to note that 52 respondents received Satisfactory grades, indicating an average level of performance. However, relying solely on these grades may not provide a strong foundation for academic achievement. These grades can either remain stagnant, decline towards Fairly Satisfactory and Did Not Meet Expectation, or improve towards Very Satisfactory and Outstanding. Thus, there is a need for continuous efforts to enhance educational outcomes and ensure students' progress beyond the average level.

Considering these observations, it becomes evident that the distribution of grades among the respondents highlights the need for targeted interventions and strategies to support their academic growth. By focusing on elevating performance and reducing the number of lower-tier grades, educational

institutions can work towards enhancing student achievement and fostering a culture of excellence.

Digital Access Levels

Motivational Access Level

Table 5 presents the motivational access levels of the respondents across 20 different situations. The data reveals that in 16 of these situations, the majority of respondents expressed agreement. Notably, the respondents registered a neutral response in situations 2, 3, and 10, indicating a lack of strong agreement or disagreement. However, situation 12 stands out as the only scenario where the respondents strongly agreed.

Analyzing the average weighted mean, which is calculated as 3.73, we can interpret the overall response as "Agree." This weighted mean signifies a general inclination towards agreement among the respondents regarding the motivational access levels examined in the study.

The results presented in Table 5 demonstrate a positive outlook on motivational access across the majority of situations, as evidenced by the high number of agreement responses. However, the presence of neutral responses in a few instances suggests the need for further exploration and understanding of the factors influencing motivational access levels in those specific scenarios.

By acknowledging the respondents' agreement in most situations and the overall "Agree" characterization based on the average weighted mean, the study highlights the importance of motivational factors in shaping access to resources. These findings contribute to our understanding of how motivation can impact individuals' engagement and involvement in various contexts, providing insights that can inform the design of interventions and strategies aimed at fostering positive motivational environments.

TABLE V
MOTIVATIONAL ACCESS

Situation	$\bar{x}$	Verbal Description
1. Want to use a gadget and they do not own one, they can borrow from their family easily.	3.84	Agree
2. Want to use a desktop computer and they do not own one, they can rent a computer in the internet café.	3.05	Neutral
3. Want to use a gadget and they do not own one, they can borrow from their friends easily.	3.21	Neutral
4. Want to use a gadget and they do not own one, they would look for other possible good ways just to use it.	4.01	Agree
5. The respondents want to own, rent, or borrow a smart phone for any usage.	3.92	Agree
6. The respondents want to own, rent, or borrow a laptop for any usage.	3.75	Agree
7. The respondents want to own, rent, or borrow a tablet for any usage.	3.78	Agree
8. Want to own, rent, or borrow a desktop computer for any usage.	3.77	Agree
9. Want to own, rent, or borrow a smart tv for any usage.	3.65	Agree
10. Can find time to use any highly digital devices.	3.36	Neutral
11. Can learn to use any highly digital devices easily.	3.60	Agree
12. Believe that the highly digital devices that they use are very important to them as students.	4.34	Strongly Agree
13. Believe that connecting to the internet makes their study easier.	4.01	Agree
14. Believe that unstable network condition in their area is not a hindrance to use any highly digital devices.	3.65	Agree
15. Believe that they can use any digital devices to help them get good grades.	3.47	Agree
16. Believe that the highly digital devices make them a more productive student.	3.84	Agree
17. Believe that the price of any digital device does not matter as long as theyare learning to use it.	3.49	Agree
18. Believe that any digital devices can help other struggling students.	3.79	Agree
19. Believe that a more digitally immersed person will have a good-paying job or business sooner.	3.93	Agree
20. Believe that everyone must learn to use at least one (1) highly digital device.	4.11	Agree
Average Weighted Mean	**3.73**	**Agree**

Legends: 4.20-5.00 – Strongly Agree; 3.40-4.19 – Agree; 2.60-3.39 – Neutral; 1.80-2.59 – Disagree; 1.00-1.79 – Strongly Disagree

The data gathered suggests that the respondents generally perceive the digital devices they use as important tools in their lives. Interestingly, it also indicates that the respondents recognize the value of smart phones, even if they do not personally own one. However, it is worth noting that a neutral response was recorded in situations 2 and 3, implying that the respondents may be less motivated to make additional efforts, such as visiting internet cafes or borrowing gadgets from their friends. Additionally, the findings suggest that the respondents face some difficulties in managing their time to use digital devices effectively.

On a positive note, the data reveals that the respondents strongly believe that utilizing digital devices can contribute to their academic success. This aligns with the "Agree" response recorded for situation 1, indicating that the respondents perceive their families as supportive in assisting with learning tasks. Furthermore, the respondents display a willingness to explore alternative ways to maximize the use of digital devices, as indicated by the "Agree" response for situation 4.

In situations 5 to 9, the respondents demonstrate motivation in owning, renting, or borrowing various digital devices, including smart phones, laptops, tablets, desktop computers, and smart TVs. This suggests that the respondents are eager to gain firsthand experience with these different gadgets and are open to utilizing them for their academic pursuits.

Moreover, the respondents' motivation to excel in their studies is evident in the "Agree" responses for the remaining situations. They believe that using digital devices can enhance their schooling experience, lead to better grades, increase productivity, and improve their effectiveness both in the present and in the future beyond senior high school.

Overall, these findings highlight the positive attitudes and motivations of the respondents towards digital devices and their potential impact on academic outcomes. It is important to leverage these motivations and provide appropriate support to help students effectively integrate digital devices into their learning processes, thereby enhancing their educational experiences and future prospects.

Material Access

Table 6 further reveals that the 1.31 average weighted mean shows that the respondents have difficulty in using actual devices. Most of the respondents neither can own, borrow, or rent laptop, tablet, smart TV, and desktop computer. However, among the 5 situations presented in this table, only the first situation most of the respondents "Agree" on. The material access of the respondents shows that there is an actual device acquisition concerns that needs to be looked upon. The 1.31 average weighted mean also reflects the demographic background, specifically the economic background, of the respondents in which most of them come from low income generating families, where basic needs must be addressed first before acquiring costly digital devices.

TABLE VI
MATERIAL ACCESS

Situation	$\bar{x}$	Verbal Description
1. Own, borrow, or rent easily a smart phone and use it.	1.84	Agree
2. Own, borrow, or rent easily a laptop and use it.	1.19	Disagree
3. Own, borrow, or rent easily a tablet and use it.	1.14	Disagree
4. Own, borrow, or rent easily a smart TV and use it.	1.28	Disagree
5. Own, borrow, or rent easily a desktop computer and use it.	1.09	Disagree
Average Weighted Mean	1.31	Disagree

Legends: 1.56 – 2.00 – Agree; 1.00 – 1.55 - Disagree

Skills Access

The Table 7 reveals that the average weighted mean of 3.16, which is interpreted as "Nearly Mastered", indicates that the respondents' skills in web browser navigation and file management (situations 1-7), document preparation (situations 8-12), slides presentation (situations 13-16), and spreadsheet productivity (situations, needs more reinforcement. The respondents must be trained to acquire more techniques and means in performing the different skills presented.

TABLE VII
SKILLS ACCESS

Situation	$\bar{x}$	Verbal Description
1. Use search engine	3.90	Mastered
2. Download files	3.75	Mastered
3. Install software	3.72	Mastered
4. Bookmark webpages	3.07	Nearly Mastered
5. Open new tab	4.20	Fully Mastered
6. Stream videos and music in YouTube, Spotify, Netflix, etc.	4.06	Mastered
7. Send emails [Google Mail, Yahoo Mail, MS Outlook]	3.18	Nearly Mastered
8. Create and save file is Microsoft Word	2.93	Nearly Mastered
9. Adjust the font style, size, color, and line spacing	3.26	Nearly Mastered
10. Italicize, bold and underline texts	3.06	Nearly Mastered
11. Cut, copy, and paste	3.95	Mastered
12. Insert image in MS Word	2.96	Nearly Mastered
13. Create and save file in MS PowerPoint	2.64	Nearly Mastered
14. Create and delete slides	3.18	Nearly Mastered
15. Change background of the slides	3.18	Nearly Mastered
16. Insert image in MS PowerPoint	2.50	Partly Mastered
17. Create and save file in MS Excel	2.51	Partly Mastered
18. Create a table in MS Excel	2.28	Partly Mastered
19. Add, subtract, multiply functions.	2.56	Partly Mastered
20. Apply conditional formatting of the cells.Add, subtract, multiply functions.	2.37	Partly Mastered
Average Weighted Mean	**3.16**	**Nearly Mastered**

Legends: 4.20-5.00 – Strongly Agree; 3.40-4.19 – Agree; 2.60-3.39 – Neutral; 1.80-2.59 – Disagree; 1.00-1.79 – Strongly Disagree

Among the different subset of skills, web browser and file management have the skillset that most of the respondents would likely to prefer since most of the respondents fully mastered opening a new tab of a web browser such as Google Chrome, Mozilla Firefox, and Microsoft Edge. The respondents also mastered the other basic web browser and file navigation skills such as streaming videos and music online in YouTube, Spotify, and Netflix, using search engines specifically Google, downloading files, and installing software. It is also worthy to note that the respondents also mastered the cut, copy, and paste skills.

Among the 20 skills presented, 9 of these are nearly mastered by the respondents. 4 skills come from document preparation through Microsoft Word or Google Docs; 3 skills come from slides presentation using Microsoft PowerPoint or Google Slides; and 2 skills come from web browsing and file management. To wit, these skills are: adjusting the font style, size, color and line spacing, sending emails using Google Mail, Yahoo Mail or MS Outlook, creating and deleting slides, changing the background of the slides, bookmarking web pages, italicizing, bolding, and underlining texts, inserting images in MS Word, creating and saving files in MS Word or Google Docs, and creating and saving files in MS PowerPoint or Google Slides.

The remaining 5 skills were partly mastered. These partly mastered skills were all of the spreadsheet productivity and a slides presentation skill. The following skills are found to be the least mastered among the 20 skills used in the survey: adding, subtracting, and multiplying functions, creating and saving spreadsheets using MS Excel, inserting images in MS PowerPoint, applying conditional formatting of the cells, and creating a table in MS Excel.

Based on the exposition, the respondents need to undergo skilling sessions on all of the ICT skills measured on this study because an average weighted mean of 3.16 or Nearly Mastered description would highly suggest that the Skills Access of the respondents are neither good nor bad.

Usage Access

Table 8 shows that the average weighted mean of the Usage Access is 3.95, described as "Usually". This indicates that the respondents spend more time on using digital devices. However, it is interesting to note that by ranking the 5

situations, the respondents use digital devices because of entertainment reasons. Social networking usage also comes first before school-related activities. Surfing websites of interest and playing online games come the least, respectively. However, all of the situations given, all of these have a "Usually" descriptions. Therefore, the respondents, in all situations given, usually find time to use digital devices in whatever purposes.

TABLE VIII
USAGE ACCESS

Situation	$\bar{x}$	Verbal Description
1. Surfing websites of interest	3.95	Usually
2. Playing online games	3.57	Usually
3. Entertainment (Streaming music and videos)	4.10	Usually
4. Social Networking	4.09	Usually
5. School-related activities	4.04	Usually
Average Weighted Mean	3.95	Usually

Legends: 4.20-5.00 – Strongly Agree; 3.40-4.19 – Agree; 2.60-3.39 – Neutral;   1.80-2.59 – Disagree; 1.00-1.79 – Strongly Disagree

Summary of the Digital Access Levels

Table 9 shows that the digital literacy of the respondents is considered as "Good" due to reaching a factor average mean of 3.04. This means that even if the respondents have a gap on Material Access level, their Motivational Access and Usage Access comes above average, while their Skills Access indicates that the respondents need up skilling sessions.

TABLE IX
SUMMARY TABLE OF THE LEVEL OF DIGITAL LITERACY

Factors	$\bar{x}$	Verbal Description
1.Motivational Access	3.73	Agree
2.Material Access	1.31	Disagree
3.Skills Access	3.16	Nearly Mastered
4.Usage Access	3.95	Usually
Factor Average Mean	3.04	Good

Digital Access and Age

Table 10 shows that there is a very low positive correlation between the respondents' digital literacy and age. Furthermore, it is also worth to note that there is low positive correlation between Motivation Access with the Overall Digital Access (r=0.398, p<0.01), and Skills Access and Usage Access (r=0.398, p<0.01). This implies that even though there is a slightly higher value of correlation when compared with the other intercorrelation of factors, the same shows low correlation. However, there is a moderate positive

correlation between Skills Access with the Overall Digital Access (r=0.643, p<0.01) and Usage Access and Overall Digital Access Levels (r=0.516, p<0.01). The moderate correlation indicates that the ICT skills and frequency of using digital devices is mostly relevant in achieving digital literacy even if the respondents are less motivated nor hardly able to access digital devices.

TABLE X
SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DIGITAL ACCESS AND AGE

	Age	Material Access	Motivational Access	Skills Access	Usage Access	Overall Digital Access
Age	1					
Material Access	0.110	1				
Motivational Access	0.036	0.001	1			
Skills Access	0.023	.239*	.266**	1		
Usage Access	0.090	0.117	.232*	.398**	1	
Overall Digital Access	0.125	0.183	.398**	.643**	.516**	1

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Digital Access Levels and Sex

As seen in Table 11, there are no significant differences among all of the digital access levels and the overall digital access itself. However, it is noted that the male respondents registered higher mean scores in the different levels except the Material Access where the female counterparts registered more mean scores than their male counterparts.

TABLE XI
INDEPENDENT SAMPLE T-TEST OF DIGITAL ACCESS WHEN ANALYZED BY SEX

	Sex	N	Mean	SD	SE	t
Overall Digital Access	Male	47	3.0426	0.46426	0.06772	0.353**
	Female	60	3.0167	0.29063	0.03752	
Material Access	Male	47	1.2851	0.23496	0.03427	-0.926
	Female	60	1.3267	0.22689	0.02929	
Motivational Access	Male	47	3.8032	0.42751	0.06236	1.456
	Female	60	3.6683	0.50998	0.06584	
Skills Access	Male	47	3.3362	0.72791	0.10618	2.563**
	Female	60	3.0275	0.51695	0.06674	
Usage Access	Male	47	3.9574	0.73062	0.10657	0.803
	Female	60	3.9467	0.60491	0.07809	

**p<0.01, *p<.05

Digital Access Levels and Academic Achievement

The table shows (*See Table 12*) that the intercorrelation among the digital access levels and the academic achievement

mostly shows very low positive correlation to low positive correlation. However, it is interesting to note that there is a very low negative correlation between Material Access and Final Grades (r=-0.055, p<0.05), Usage Access and Final Grades (r=-0.110, p<0.05), and the Overall Digital Access Level and the Final Grades (r=-0.010, p<0.05). The table also registered a moderate significant correlation with Skills Access and Overall Digital Access (r=0.643, p<0.01), and Usage Access and Overall Digital Access (r=0.516, p<0.01). With this, it is deduced that the grades of the respondents do not correlate with their material access, usage access, and the overall digital access. Thus, the respondents' final grades have no strong correlation with their digital access levels.

TABLE XII
SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DIGITAL ACCESS AND FINAL GRADES

	Material Access	Motivational Access	Skills Access	Usage Access	Overall Digital Access	Final Grades
Material Access	1					
Motivational Access	0.001	1				
Skills Access	0.239*	0.266**	1			
Usage Access	0.117	0.232*	0.398**	1		
Overall Digital Access	0.183	0.398**	0.643**	0.516**	1	
Academic Achievement	-0.055	0.167	0.117	-0.110	-0.010	1

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Inter-correlation among the 4 Digital Access Levels

The computed p-value of the factors is 0.000 is lesser than the 0.05 level of significance, thus the null hypothesis is rejected. Hence, there is a statistically significant differences at the 0.05 level of significance in the digital access levels mean scores of the respondents. The statistically significant difference shows that the digital access levels may vary from each other. It means that the different access levels may be different from each other *(See Table 13)*.

With the numbers suggesting that there is indeed a significant difference in the four digital access levels, it means that we cannot tell that high motivational access level are being skillful in ICT; motivational levels cannot guarantee that it can also produce a good usage access level; and the material access levels cannot also provide assurance that it could also produce good skills and usage access, to wit, for example.

However, schoolteachers and administrators may take it as an advantage to focus on a specific access level without compromising or considering the other levels. Teachers may focus on improving their ICT skills which the school provides.

TABLE XIII
INTERCORRELATION AMONG THE 4 DIGITAL ACCESS LEVELS

Source	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
Factor	3	523	174.339	534.15	0.000
Error	424	138.4	0.326		
Total	427	661.4			

Issues and Concerns met by the respondents

In Table 14, it is deduced that the respondents' conflict with the other activities the first among the different issues and concerns that the respondents face. With a 6-person gap, the insufficient load/data allowance is another identified hindrance of the respondents. The respondents also expressed their concern on the lack of available gadgets and unstable mobile/internet connection. The other issues and concerns have a huge gap with their predecessors in their ranking. The respondents' difficulty in reading is the identified least issue and concern.

TABLE XIV
ISSUES AND CONCERNS

Issues and Concerns	f	Rank
Conflict with other activities (example: house chores)	89	1
Insufficient load/data allowance	83	2
Lack of available gadgets/equipment	79	3
Unstable mobile/internet connection	78	4
Distractions (i.e., social media, noise from community/neighbor)	77	5
No or lack of available space for studying	61	6
Existing health condition/s	51	7
Difficulty in independent learning	37	8

These results can suggest that the respondents must be able to plan out their activities which must consider with their most available resources, workload, learning style, and other factors. Their parents and guardians are more expected to be well coordinated and well communicated with their teachers and the students as well.

Findings

After the data were tabulated and gathered, the following results are drawn:

The respondents' age is mostly 16-19 while there are a few students who are 20 years old and older. These students comprise 14% of the respondents when compared to the total population. Furthermore, there is slightly more male respondents than the female respondents which shows a 53:47 percentage ratio. It is also worthy to note that male respondents' age ranges from 16 to 23, while the female respondents' age ranges 16 t0 28 years old.

Based on the findings on the gadgets available to the respondents, the respondents identify using smart phones as the most common digital device, which is most accessible to them, while a desktop computer is the least of their ownership. It is also worthy to note that there is a huge margin between the smart phone towards its 2nd in the rank, the radio. The other gadgets show also few gaps with their ranking predecessors.

Based on the findings on the academic achievement of the respondents, there is a concentration of the respondents' grades on the Satisfactory level, with the few existences of Fairly Satisfactory, Very Satisfactory, and Outstanding grades, and no existing Did Not Meet Expectation grades, it shows that the students are on the average academic level.

Based on the findings on the motivational access levels of the respondents, the respondents are highly motivated to

use digital devices most especially they believe that it can help them do good in their studies. After the table has been tabulated, it is found out that the highest and prominent situation in the motivational access level is "I believe that the highly digital devices that I use are very important to me as a student." It has a mean of 4.34 or Strongly Agree. On the other hand, it is also found out that the lowest scored situation in the motivational access level is "If I want to use a desktop computer and I do not own one, I can rent a computer in the internet café." It has a mean of 3.05 or Neutral.

Based on the findings on the material access levels of the respondents, the respondents have material access gap. The result shows that the respondents have difficulty in using actual devices. Most of the respondents neither can own, borrow, or rent laptop, tablet, smart TV, and desktop computer. However, among the 5 situations presented in this table, only the first situation "I own, borrow, or rent easily a smartphone and use it." most of the respondents "Agree" on. It has a mean of 1.84.

Based on the findings on skills access of the respondents, the respondents' skills in web browser navigation and file management, document preparation, slides presentation, and spreadsheet productivity need more reinforcement. The respondents must be trained to acquire more techniques and means in performing the different skills presented. The respondents opening a new tab as the easiest ICT skill, and the respondents find creating an MS Excel table the hardest.

Based on the findings on the usage access of the respondents, the respondents spend more time on using digital devices. However, it is interesting to note that by ranking the 5 situations, the respondents use digital devices because of entertainment reasons. Social networking usage also comes first before school-related activities. Surfing websites of interest and playing online games come the least, respectively.

Based on the findings on the summary of the digital access levels, the respondents have a gap on Material Access level, their Motivational Access and Usage Access comes above average, while their Skills Access indicate that the respondents need up skilling sessions.

Based on the findings on correlation between digital access levels with age, there is a generally very low to low positive correlation between these two. It must be deduced that the age of the respondents does not matter much on the levels of their digital access.

Based on the findings on the correlation between digital access levels with sex, there is no significant relationship between the two. Therefore, sex has not statistically relevant with the digital access levels of the respondents.

Based on the findings on the correlation between digital access levels with the academic achievement of the respondents, there is a generally very low positive and negative significant correlation between the two. It is deduced that the grades of the respondents do not correlate with their material access, usage access, and the overall digital access. Thus, the respondents' academic achievement has no strong correlation with their digital access levels.

Based on the findings on the intercorrelation among the different digital access levels, there is a statistically significant differences at the 0.05 level of significance in the digital access levels mean scores of the respondents. The statistically significant difference shows that the digital access levels may vary from each other. It means that the different access levels may be different from each other.

Based on the findings on the gathered data on issues and concerns, it is deduced that the respondents' difficulty in independent learning ranks the first among the different issues and concerns that the respondents face. With a 6-person gap, the insufficient load/data allowance is another identified hindrance of the respondents. The respondents also expressed their concern on the lack of available gadgets and unstable mobile/internet connection.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The Senior High School students of Biasong National High School in School Year 2021-2022, are having a good level of digital access level. However, they primarily rely on using smart phones in using digital devices. Their age, sex, and academic achievement do not affect their digital access level. The subcomponents of the digital literacy also are independent with each other in such a way that it does not much correlate with the other aspects. They also low material access which means that they cannot easily use other devices than smart phones. They use their smart phones usually for primarily entertainment purposes. However, they use their smart phones when they need it for school and other purposes. They also have an average ICT skill especially in web browsing. They must be trained more on the ICT skills, especially document preparation, slides presentation, and sheet production, as entry level ICT skills. They also find the concern of finding the right moment to do tasks because they cannot finish or focus on tasks when they are easily disturbed by other activities as well.

RECOMMENDATION

The Senior High School students of Biasong National High School, Biasong, Balamban, Cebu must have an integration with contextualized enhancement activities in their lessons. The enhancement activities will not be sex-specific, age-specific, and grade-specific. Thus, the contextualized enhancement activity must be true and be done by all senior high school students. The contextualized enhancement activity can be done by using a smartphone with an offline mode. The teachers can provide activities using mobile phone applications that are free and available offline.

To provide a clear cut on how integration of digital literacy to the lessons in the different senior high school subjects, an example in the proceeding chapter can be considered as recommendation, since it complies with the different facts and figures acquired by this study

These results suggest a number of actions that can be taken to improve BNHS students' digital literacy:
Targeted Skills Development: Include hands-on ICT skill development workshops in the curriculum, emphasizing the development of fundamental abilities such as spreadsheet usage, presentation design, and document creation. Given that the students have limited access to materials, these workshops can be specifically created for smartphones or inexpensive computers.

Mobile-Learning Activities: Promote the use of mobile learning apps for subject-specific learning activities and offline skill development. Instructors can use easily

accessible and free mobile apps to curate playlists or create assignments. This method encourages focused learning while making use of the students' prior smartphone familiarity.

Time Management and Focus Training: Provide focused workshops or incorporate time management and focus training techniques into already-existing classes. These techniques can help students effectively manage digital distractions and finish assignments.

Contextualized Enhancement Activities: Create activities that use smartphones to provide subject-specific learning in a contextualized way. This will guarantee that all students, regardless of age, gender, or grade level, have equal access. These tasks ought to be made as self-contained as possible to reduce reliance on internet access.

By putting these recommendations into practice, BNHS will be able to close the gaps in digital literacy that have been found and give students the fundamental digital skills they need to thrive in the twenty-first century. The particular cases discussed in the next chapter can serve as useful tools to demonstrate how these suggestions can be implemented in a variety of subject areas.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Camacho and R. M. Pintor, "Title An Analysis of the Effect of ICT Integration in High School Test Performance in Masbate, Philippines," Osaka University Knowledge Archive: OUKA, Osaka, Japan, 2011. Available: https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/repo/ouka/all/5319/28-019.pdf

[2] F. Mark, A. Quimba, and S. Calizo, "Going Digital: From Innovation to Inclusive Growth in the Philippines," Philippine Institute for Development Studies, Quezon City, Philippines, 2018. Available: https://pidswebs.pids.gov.ph/CDN/PUBLICATIONS/pidsdps1819.pdf.

[3] A. Rahmah, "Digital Literacy Learning System for Indonesian Citizen," Procedia Computer Science, vol. 72, pp. 94–101, 2015, doi: https://doi.org/10.101 6/j.procs.2015.12.109

[4] Facer, Learning Futures. Routledge, 2011. doi: 10.4324/9780203817308.

[5] R. Darvin, "Language, Ideology, and Critical Digital Literacy," Language and Technology, pp. 1–14, 2017, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-02328-1_35-1.

[6] C.-S. Lin, J.-T. Ma, and K. Yi-Chwen Kuo, "EXAMINING THE EFFICACY OF PROJECT-BASED LEARNING ST ON CULTIVATING THE 21 CENTURY SKILLS AMONG HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS IN A GLOBAL CONTEXT," Jun. 2015. Available: https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1097421.pdf

[7] Hunter and T. Fritzgerald, "STEAM Games Are Good for Learning: A Study of Teacher Professional Development in the Philippines.," Jl. of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 347–355, 2021.

[8] M. Perera, L. Gardner, and A. Peiris, "Investigating the Interrelationship between Undergraduates' Digital Literacy and Self-Regulated Learning Skills Research-in-Progress," 2016. Available: https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/301370261.pdf

[9] A. R. Lorenzo, "Effectiveness of the Computer and Internet Literacy Project in Public High Schools of Tarlac Province, Philippines.," Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 38–46, Apr. 2016.

[10] Vyhmeister, "The Digital Divide After 20 Years: A Rural Philippine Perspective," International Forum Journal, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 5–15, Aug. 2014.-1.

[11] M. Kenneth and S. Camiling, "eHealth Literacy of High School Students in the Philippines," Philippines IAFOR Journal of Education, vol. 7, no. 2, 2019, Available: https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1236225.pdf.

[12] S. Dovchin and A. Pennycook, "Digital Metroliteracies: Space, Diversity, and Identity," in Handbook of Writing, Literacies, and Education in Digital Cultures, K. Mills, A. Stornaiuolo, A. Smith, and J. Pandya, Eds., New York: Routledge, 2017, p. 12.

[13] A. Schleicher, "PISA 2018 Insights and Interpretations," OECD, 2019. Available: https://www.oecd.org/pisa/PISA%202018%20Insights%20and%20Interpretations%20FINAL%20PDF.pdf.

[14]] Policy Guidelines on the K to 12 Basic Education Program, vol. DepEd Order No. 21. 2019. Available: https://www.deped.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/DO_s2019_021.pdf.

[15] "Statement on the Philippines' ranking in the 2018 PISA results | Department of Education," Department of Education, Dec. 04, 2019. Available: https://www.deped.gov.ph/2019/12/04/statement-on-the-philippines-ranking-in-the-2018-pisa-results

[16] Y. Eshet-Alkalai, "Thinking in the Digital Era: A Revised Model for Digital Literacy," Issues in Informing Science and Information Technology, vol. 9, pp. 267–276, 2012, doi: https://doi.org/10.28945/1621

[17] W. Ng, "Can we teach digital natives digital literacy?" Computers & Education, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1065–1078, Nov. 2012, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.04.016

[18] J. A. G. M. Van Dijk, "Digital Divide: Impact of Access," The International Encyclopedia of Media Effects, pp. 1–11, Mar. 2017, doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118783764.wbieme0043.Available:https://www.utwente.nl/en/bms/vandijk/publications/digital_divide_impact_access.pdf

[19] R. Rintaningrum and N. I. Pangaribuan, "THE IMPORTANCE OF DIGITAL LITERACY," Nov. 2021. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/355856386_THE_IMPORTANCE_OF_DIGITAL_LITERACY

[20] V. Hans, "Digital Empowerment and Inclusive Growth," Mar. 2018, Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/323691812K. Madampe, R. Hoda, and J. Grundy, "A Framework for Emotion-Oriented Requirements Change Handling in Agile Software Engineering," IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 3325–3343, May 2023, doi: 10.1109/tse.2023.3253145.

[21] L.Jiawook, "CULTURE-LANGUAGES-MEDIA Independent Project with Specialization in English Studies and Education 15 Credits, First Cycle," Jan. 2021. Available: https://www.divaportal.org/smash/get/diva2:1632855/FULL EXT02.

[22] C. Vizconde, "Preservice teachers' digital access and reading comprehension of printed and hypertexts," Oct. 2023, Available:https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374449177_Preservice_teachers'_digital_access_and_reading_comprehension_of_printed_and_hypertexts Suhaib, M. (2020). Investigation and analysis of the requirement engineering in software development process and its systematic requirements elicitation approach. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, 9(4), 2723–2726. https://api.elsevier.com/content/abstract/scopus_id/85083481431

[23]] A. Hassan and C. Plcorpora, "Digital Age and Reading habits: Empirical Evidence from Pakistani Engineering University," TESOL International Journal, vol. 16, pp. 2094–3938,2021,Available:https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1329787.pdf

[24] P. Cabello, M. Claro, R. Rojas, and D. Trucco, "Children's and adolescents' digital access in Chile: the role of digital access modalities in digital uses and skills," Journal of Children and Media, pp. 1–19, Apr. 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/17482798.2020.1744176S.Parthasarathy and M. Daneva, "A Requirements Engineering Framework for Software

Startup Companies," Journal of Database Management, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 69–94, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.4018/jdm.2021070104.

[25] K. Williams, What is digital divide? Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA,2001.Available:https://katewill.web.ischool.illinois.edu/work/3 3-williams-2001-d3-proceedings-not   complete.pdfChebanyuk,O.V. (2020). Domain engineering approach of software requirement analysis. In *CEUR Workshop Proceedings* (Vol. 2866, pp. 164–173).

[26] J. Albert, G. Ramon, J. Dumagan, and A. Martinez, "Inequalities in Income, Labor, and Education: The Challenge of Inclusive Growth," Inequalities in Income, Labor, and Education: The Challenge of Inclusive Growth, Makati City, Philippines, 2015.Available:https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/127037/1/p idsdps1501.pdfF.

[27] J. Zubiri and S. Tomacruz, "Digital Demands: Addressing the Digital Divide in Basic Education and Its Relation to Academic Performance and Aspirations," 21st Century Academic Forum, vol. 9, no. 1, Mar. 2016,Available: https://www.21caf.org/uploads/1/3/5/2/13527682/9hrd-4060_zubiri.pdf

[28] L. D. Espiritu, "ICT integration: A roadmap for Philippine education institutions," 2nd ICT in Basic Education Congress, Sep. 2006.

[29] AN ACT CREATING THE DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY, DEFINING ITS POWERS AND FUNCTIONS APPROPRIATING FUNDS THEREFOR, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES. 2016. Available: https

[30] D. Clow, "The learning analytics cycle," Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge - LAK '12, 2012, doi: https://doi.org/10.1145/2330601.2330636

[31] I. K. Policarpio, J. Carreon, D. Ignacio, E. L. Jabon, and M. A. Pacheco, "Academia.edu - Share research," Undergraduate thesis, College of Education, Polytechnic University of the Philippines - Bulacan Campus, 2018. Available: https://www.academia.edu/attachments/59322232/download_file?st= MTcxMDc1Njk1NiwxMTIuMTk4LjEwMy4xOTk.

[32] J. T. Trajeco and S. de Guzman, "A Case Study on the Impact of a Tablet-Based learning Environment on the Learning and Study Habits of high school students," Case Study, Meriam College, 2013.Available:https://www.academia.edu/attachments/42539944/do wnload_file?st=MTcxMDc1NzI1NSwxMTIuMTk4LjEwMy4xOTk %3D&s=swp-splash-paper-cover.

[33] N. Law, D. Woo, J. de la Torre, and G. Wong, "A Global Framework of Reference on Digital Literacy Skills for Indicator 4.4.2," UNESCO Institute for Statistics, Montreal, Quebec H3C 3J7, Canada, Jun. 2018. Available: